

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/597,115 | 07/12/2006 | Hajime Kando | 36856.1461 | 6370 |
| 54066 7590 08/10/2007 MURATA MANUFACTURING COMPANY, LTD. C/O KEATING & BENNETT, LLP, 8180 GREENSBORO DRIVE SUITE 850 MCLEAN, VA 22102 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | DOUGHERTY, THOMAS M | |
| | | | ART UNIT . | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2834 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 08/10/2007 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JKEATING@KBIPLAW.COM uspto@kbiplaw.com

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/597,115 | KANDO, HAJIME | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Thomas M. Dougherty | 2834 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply | opears on the cover sheet wit | th the correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP | IVIS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MC | ONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS | | | | |
| WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC .136(a). In no event, however, may a red d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tte, cause the application to become ABA | CATION. sply be timely filed IHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | · | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 | August 2007. | • | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| , | , | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. | . 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 10-23 is/are pending in the applicati | on. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | or alaction requirement | | | | | |
| 8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-23</u> are subject to restriction and/ | or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir | ner. | · | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| The path of declaration is objected to by the b | Examiner. Note the attached | Office Action of form P 10-132. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig | n priority under 35 U.S.C. § | 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documer3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri | · · | | | | | |
| application from the International Bure | • | eccived in this realional stage | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis | , | eceived. | | | | |
| | · | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | A) ⊠ Intonia C | ummary (PTO-413) | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s) | /Mail Date. <u>20070803</u> . | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other: | | | | | | |
| i aper inu(s)/ivian Date | o, | - ' | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/597,115

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

the claim 10 embodiment: a boundary acoustic wave device where the thickness of the electrode is determined so that the acoustic velocity of the Stoneley wave is lower than that of a slow transverse wave propagating through the dielectric substance and that of a slow transverse wave propagating through the piezoelectric substance;

the claim 12 embodiment: a boundary acoustic wave device wherein the duty ratio of strips forming the electrodes is determined so that the acoustic velocity of the Stoneley wave is lower than that of a slow transverse wave propagating through the dielectric substance and that of a slow transverse wave propagating through the piezoelectric substance;

the claim 15 embodiment: a boundary acoustic wave comprised of LiNbO₃, wherein Euler angles of the piezoelectric substance are in the ranges shown in Table 1 and the Stoneley wave has an acoustic velocity of 3,757 m/sec or less;

the claim 19 embodiment: a boundary acoustic wave device wherein the density of the electrodes, their thickness and the wavelength of the Stoneley wave are specifically represented;

the claim 22 embodiment: a boundary acoustic wave device wherein the density of the electrodes, their thickness and the wavelength of the Stoneley wave are specifically represented and of different ranges than the claim 6 embodiment.

Application/Control Number: 10/597,115 Page 3

Art Unit: 2834

The species are independent or distinct because they have different structural requirements.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is the most generic though not precisely so.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Direct inquiry to Examiner Dougherty at (571) 272-2022.

tmd

August 3, 2007

TOM DOUGHERTY PRIMARY EXAMINER